Docket 06-121 I submit that Money does not equal Free Speech

The <u>basis</u> for a Right to Free Speech <u>springs</u> from the inherent desire of every controlling power to <u>limit</u> decent and the sharing of intellectual thought. To allow these controlling powers to also control <u>speech</u> is to <u>stifle</u> a free society.

Corporate control of the media (and for that matter the Government) is at an all time high in this county. Money buys power, control...<u>and it buys speech</u>. When a handful of wealthy corporations are allowed to control the <u>content</u> of what is placed into the <u>public domain</u>, there is as much <u>risk</u> to a free society as if it were <u>done</u> by the Government itself. And <u>further</u>, when these corporations are able to buy influence <u>within</u> the Government... the line between Corporate and Government speech begins to disappear altogether.

The right to free speech is <u>predicated</u> upon equal access to the "soapbox" for everyone. If someone can afford a taller soapbox then they have an <u>obligation</u> to allow others to use it equally. Otherwise their speech becomes <u>more</u> "equal" than another's. <u>This</u> was the root principal behind the **Fairness Doctrine** for network broadcasting, and it <u>worked</u>!

The **Fairness Doctrine** was at least an <u>attempt</u> to ensure that the networks made an effort to be <u>fair & balanced</u>. <u>Instead</u>, we have the Fox News network, owned by Rupert Murdoch, that simply uses those words as a <u>slogan</u>. I <u>highly</u> recommend the documentary entitled "**Outfoxed**: **Rupert Murdoch's War on Journalism**" to <u>illustrate</u> the issues related to media ownership and how it affects content.

Since repeal of the **Fairness Doctrine**, the media has become <u>replete</u> with partisan talking heads, and <u>any</u> sense of balance has been lost. The FCC controlled <u>air waves</u> are the <u>soapbox</u> of the masses, and the time has come for the FCC to take <u>back</u> from the grip of Corporate interests what rightfully belongs to <u>all</u> of us.

"There is <u>nothing</u> in the First Amendment which prevents the Government from <u>requiring</u> a licensee to <u>share</u> his frequency with others.... It is the right of the <u>viewers</u> and <u>listeners</u>, not the right of the broadcasters, which is <u>paramount</u>."

• U.S. Supreme Court, upholding the constitutionality of the Fairness Doctrine in Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC, 1969.

This message has been <u>lost</u>. Disney now <u>owns</u> ABC and <u>controls</u> what ABC will broadcast. <u>Together</u> they aired a dramatization of the decisions and actions leading up to the most horrendous <u>tragedy</u> our country has ever known... September 11th. This <u>work</u> was even marketed and packaged as a <u>documentary</u> to High School students via the Scholasitc company <u>despite</u> being written and produced by <u>partisans</u> and containing blatantly false re-creations of the <u>facts</u>. This is an abuse of the <u>public trust</u> placed in that network by the FCC license that it holds. Disney and ABC should be held <u>accountable</u> for the breach of that trust.

Broadcast licenses are only supposed to be renewed if the broadcast station meets the "public interest, convenience, or necessity." This work, aired on ABC affiliates nationwide, satisfies <u>none</u> of these criteria. <u>Rather</u>, it stands as a seminal example of how <u>bad</u> things have become.